

REMARKS

This amendment and request for reconsideration is in response to the final Office Action dated June 13, 2006. Claims 8-11, 16 and 18 have been amended. Claims 43 and 44 have been added. Claims 8-11, 16-22 and 31-44 remain pending after entry of the present amendment.

Applicants' Interview Summary

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicants' undersigned representatives during the personal interview on October 12, 2006. Applicants agree with and adopt the Examiner's Interview Summary as an accurate description of the substance of interview, in conjunction with the following remarks, pursuant to MPEP § 713.04.

Claim Rejections

Claims 8-11, 16-22 and 31-42 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Glaser (US Pat. No. 5,953,731) in view of Foley *et al.* (US Pat No 5,706,502, hereinafter "Foley") and further in view of Kirkner *et al.* (Netscape Site, 1996 QUE Corporation, pages 524-535, hereinafter "Kirkner"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants have amended claims 8-11 and 16 to be in a more preferred form. In particular, claims 8-11 and 16 have been amended in accordance with the Examiner's recommendations during the personal interview. As such, claims 8-11 and 16 are allowable for at least this reason. Claim 17 is dependent on claim 16 and is thus allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 16.

Additionally, claims 8-11, 16-22 and 31-42 are allowable for substantially the same reasons as presented in Applicants' previously submitted Amendment dated August 14, 2006.

New Claims

Applicants have added new claims 43 and 44. Both claims 43 and 44 relate to referencing, by the first page object control on the first page, said second page object control on said second page responsive to receiving the input and referencing at least one of a method or property of an object on a list of objects on said second page from said first page without transferring said at least one of a method or property from said second page to said first page. As

Appln. No.: 09/223,774
Amendment dated November 13, 2006
Reply to Office Action of June 13, 2006

such, claims 43 and 44 are allowable for substantially the same reasons as those discussed with respect to claims 8-11, 16-22 and 31-42.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and prompt allowance are respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions, he is invited to contact the undersigned to further prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

By: /Chunhsie Andy Mu/
Chunhsie Andy Mu, Registration No. 58,216

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1001 G Street, N.W., 11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 824-3000

Dated: November 13, 2006